

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,245	01/05/2001	Christopher E. Ruckman	V1000.0003/P003	3645	
24998	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER		
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			TORRES, MELANIE		
2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3683		
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/754,245	RUCKMAN ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melanie Torres	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (and the compliance time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in complianc with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a		
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F		D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of		
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because					
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	· -	ejected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).		
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		, timely filed amendo	nent canceling		
the non-allowable claim(s).					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>26</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23 and 25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.		

deemed more specific than the claim language.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

applicant discloses that the instant actuator comprises an armature on one side of a gap and a coil on the other side of the
gap, and a force generated across the gap and linearized by flux feedback. The examiner maintains that this feature is taught
by Jacot et al. in view of Sandercock. Aplicants arguments with respect to vibration isolation vs. vibration cancellation are

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 02052005